Official 1987



PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application

Malcom B. Strandberg

Serial No.

09/057,749

Filed

April 09, 1998

For

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR PROVIDING AN AUTOMATIC TELEPHONE CALL BACK TO

A TELEPHONE LINE BEING USED TO ACCESS

A COMPUTER NETWORK

Attorney's Docket

DAVOX-144XX

Examiner

Group Art Unit

2642

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on $\frac{1}{2}/26/2003$

Вν

R. Anthony Diehl

Registration No. 38,432 Attorney for Applicant(s)

DECLARATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.132 OF MALCOM B. STRANDBERG

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

- I, Malcom B. Strandberg, hereby declare that:
- 1. I am the inventor of the SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR PROVIDING AN AUTOMATIC TELEPHONE CALL BACK TO A TELEPHONE LINE BEING USED TO ACCESS A COMPUTER NETWORK, which is the subject of the above-identified patent application Serial No. 09/057,749.
- 2. I graduated from Harvard University with B.A. Engineering and

Ø012/017

In re: Malcom B. Strandberg et al. Filed: April 9, 1998 Serial No.: 09/057,749

Page 2

Applied Physics in 1973. Since then I have worked in the telecommunications field for companies including COM DEV Inc (1978-82) developing PBX message detail recorders, Voice Computer Technology (1982-89) developing voice response units, and DAVOX Corporation (1989 - present) developing call center software and telecommunications equipment. I am currently Director, Advanced Development at Davox Corporation, the assignee of the subject patent application.

- 3. I have reviewed all of the references cited by the examiner in the office action mailed 01/30/2001, namely USP 5,884,032 (hereinafter "Bateman"), USP 5,185,782 (hereinafter "Srinivasan"), USP 5,436,965 (hereinafter "Grossman") and USP 4,748,511 (hereinafter "Nichols").
- 4. Whether considered alone or together, Bateman, Srinivasan, Grossman and Nichols do not identify, let alone address, the problem that is solved by the present invention.
- 5. The problem solved by the present invention is how best to connect a telephone call to an inquiring party using a telephone line when the inquiring party has prompted the call by accessing a computer network using said telephone line.

In re: Malcom B. Strandberg et al. Filed: April 9, 1998 Serial No.: 09/057,749

Page 3

For example, using a computer equipped with a dial-up modem, an inquiring party might visit a company website on the World Wide Web ("WWW"). During such visit the inquiring party might request that a representative of the company contact the inquiring party. However, since the inquiring party is using his telephone line to access the WWW, said telephone line is not available for the company to use to connect the return call, i.e., the line is busy. The present invention is based upon the recognition that the best time to respond to the inquiring party's request is immediately and further that the inquiring party's telephone line will be busy until he has ended his modem's connection to the network over the telephone line. Accordingly, the systems and methods of the present invention immediately redial the inquiring party's telephone number when a busy signal is detected so as to connect the call as soon as possible after the inquiring party has ended his modem's connection to the network over the telephone line.

6. By contrast, Bateman concerns a system for allowing a call center agent and a customer to <u>simultaneously</u> talk on the telephone and view information available on a computer network over a telephone line <u>and separate second network connection</u>.

In re: Malcom B. Strandberg et al. Filed: April 9, 1998 Serial No.: 09/057,749

Page 4

As a result, in the Bateman system, the call center agent would never call the customer back on the same line that the customer was using to access information on the computer network because doing so would completely frustrate the purpose of the invention of Bateman, which is to allow the customer and the agent to simultaneously talk on the telephone and access information on the computer network. As a result, Bateman not only fails to teach or suggest the solution to the problem embodied in the present invention, but Bateman does not contain even the slightest recognition of the problem addressed by the present invention.

- 7. As noted by the examiner, Grossman concerns methods and systems for optimizing telephone contact campaigns. But nothing in Grossman has anything to do with identifying the best time to contact an inquiring party who has requested a callback by accessing a computer network over a telephone line. Thus, Grossman contains no recognition of the problem addressed by the present, let alone its solution.
- 8. Srinivasan concerns an automatic callback arrangement for automatically returning a call at a time specified by the

In re: Malcom B. Strandberg et al. Filed: April 9, 1998
Serial No.: 09/057,749
Page 5

original caller. The systems and methods of Srinivasan, however, only concern connecting calls to parties who have requested callbacks by using the telephone. Srinivasan contains no teaching about how to connect a call to a party who has requested a callback while using a telephone line to connect to a computer network. While there might appear to be some superficial similarity in the circumstances, in fact, the problems raised by each scenario are completely different. The user who requests a callback by telephone (as described by Srinivasan) would most likely hang-up the phone immediately after requesting the callback thereby making the line immediately available. By contrast, an inquiring party using a computer connected to a network is very likely to continue using the line to access additional information on the network after requesting a callback. Thus, Srinivasan, like Bateman and Grossman, does not address a similar problem to that addressed by the present invention and, therefore, fails to recognize the problem solved by the present invention, let alone its solution.

9. Finally, Nichols concerns a teleradiology system, and is apparently only cited by the examiner with respect to the

In re: Malcom B. Strandberg et al. Filed: April 9, 1998 Serial No.: 09/057,749 Page 6

immediate re-dial feature of the modem described therein. In particular, Nichols discloses a modem that makes three immediately consecutive attempts to connect before "giving-up." To the extent this is relevant at all to the present invention, it really tends to lead one away from it because while it teaches immediately redialing the number, it also teaches "giving-up" after a relatively brief period. Clearly, Nichols does not recognize the problem solved by the present invention. Nor does Nichols provide a solution since the system of Nichols, even if viewed in the context of connecting a telephone callback as opposed to connecting a modem, would fail to make a connection if the inquiring party stays connected to the computer network for a period of time longer

than the brief period it takes for a modem to dial a number

10. In summary, the cited references (Bateman, Grossman, Srinivasan and Nichols) each address problems very different from one another and from the problem of connecting a telephone call over a telephone line to an inquiring party that has requested a callback by connecting to a network over the same telephone line. As a result, one of ordinary skill

and sense a busy signal 3 times in a row.

Ø 017/017

In re: Malcom B. Strandberg et al.
 Filed: April 9, 1998

Serial No.: 09/057,749

Page 7

in the art would find no motivation to combine these references in any manner, let alone in a manner that would yield the present invention.

11. All statements made herein of my own knowledge are true, and all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true, and that the foregoing statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that my willful false statements or the like may jeopardize the validity of the above-identified patent application or any patent issued thereon.

Respectfully submitted,

2002

Malcom/B. Strandberg

Date: FB 24



BOURQUE AND ASSOCIATES

Professional Association ATTORNEYS AT LAW 835 HANOVER STREET, SUITE 301 MANCHESTER, NEW HAMPSHIRE 03104

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

DATE:

March 19, 2002

TIME:

9:12 AM

TO:

Examiner Benny Q. Tieu

Group Art Unit 2642

PHONE:

703-305-2360

FAX:

703-872-9314

FROM:

Bourque Law Offices

Manchester, New Hampshire

PHONE:

603-623-5111

FAX:

603-624-1432

RE:

Telephone Call Back To Telephone Line

Our Reference:

DAVOX-144XX

Number of pages including cover sheet:

7

Original of this document to be mailed as well:

__YES

x__NO

This message is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you receive this message in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the original message to us at the above address via the United States Postal Service. Thank you.

If there is a problem with this fax transmission, please call.

Examiner Tieu:

Per our telephone conversation of yesterday, attached please find the Preliminary Response and Declaration Under 37 CFR 1.132 of Malcom B. Strandberg, as well as the stamped return postcard. Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions or comments.

Heather Woods

Applicant: Walcom B. Strandberg ALLY DOCKER PAYOX-144XX
1213 1951 and without for theriding the Automotic Netron
Piled: 4 4 14 178 Serial No: 09 1057, 749 Patent No:
[Q Sent 1st Class US Mail on:2/26/07[] Sent Express Mail#on
[] Transmittal letter in triplicate. Check #: Amount: \$
[] Patent Application consisting of pgs. of Specification; pgs of claims 1; pgs of Abstract and sheets of Figs [] formal informal
[] Declaration and Power of Atty. [] Verified Statement as Small Entity
[] Assignment Recordation Form [] Assignment to:
I letter to Chine Danca
[]sheets informal drawings; []sheets of formal drawings are the state of formal drawings are the state of formal drawings.
[] Notice to Fire Missing Parts [] Reg. for Corrected Piling Paraint
[] Notice to Fife Missing Parts [] Req. for Corrected Filing Receipt [] Information Displosure Statement [] Letter Under Rule 37 CFR 1.53
[] Petition for Extension of time for mos.; [] Certificate/letter of Correction () Deher: Declaration of time for mos.; [] Certificate/letter of Correction
Other: Occiovation Under 37 CFE 1132 at Malcom B. Strandberg 1959
1,100